

Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership

## Topic

Non-native species

# Author(s)

Paul Elliott<sup>1</sup>, Philip C. Reid<sup>2</sup>, Martin Edwards<sup>2</sup> and Tracy McCollin<sup>3</sup>

# **Organisation(s) represented**

<sup>1</sup>Aquatic Ecology Group, Department of Zoology , Cambridge University, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 1TL

<sup>2</sup>Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science, The Laboratory, Citadel Hill, Plymouth, PL1 2PB.

<sup>3</sup>Fisheries Research Services Marine Laboratory, PO Box 101, 375 Victoria Road, Torry, Aberdeen, UK, AB11 9DB

#### **Executive summary**

- New marine life is arriving into our waters both by migration, range extension, and human introduction?
- The number of species of non-indigenous flora, fauna and algae is increasing in marine habitats and some are causing major ecological changes.
- Distributions of some non-native species are currently limited by water temperature.
- Warmer UK waters over the last three decades are facilitating the establishment of some of these species.
- Future temperature increases could enable a wider range of species to invade and become established, replacing current native species.

#### **Full review**

#### What are non-native species

Non-native species can be classified as fauna, flora or unicellular organisms that have been introduced from outside their natural range and have become established in UK waters. Some of these species can be considered to be invasive if they spread rapidly and cause economic or environmental harm, or harm to human health. In general, non-indigenous species are becoming increasingly common in marine habitats, and are causing major ecological changes on both local and global scales (Ruiz et al., 1997; 2000).

#### **Full Review**

Unfortunately, evidence and models of the effects of climate change on invasive spread are rare. However, climate change has been proposed to affect marine invasions in a number of ways. Firstly, warm-water indigenous species may expand ranges to the warming higher latitudes and out-compete cold-adapted species through their greater growth and recruitment (Carlton, 2000; Stachowicz et al., 2002). Secondly, climate change may alter primary **trophodynamic**? regimes and oceanography, indirectly facilitating invasions (Carlton, 2000; Hulme, 2005). Thirdly, successful invaders tend to be more resilient to disturbances than native species, and thus climate change could combine with other stressors to allow invaders to out-compete native species (Rogers & McCarty, 2000).

In the UK, many of our marine invasive species are thought to be limited in distribution by water temperature. It is likely that increasing water temperatures will further facilitate the spread of these species within the next decade. Examples of invasive species which may be affected by increasing temperatures include:

- The barnacle, *Elminius modestus*, which can grow rapidly and withstand higher temperatures than native *Balanus* species. Low water temperature is likely to restrict northwards spread of this species; *Elminius* increased considerably in abundance in the Clyde following the warm summer of 1959 (Barnes & Barnes, 1960).
- The slipper limpet, *Crepidula fornicata*, which may spread if water temperatures rise; minimum winter temperatures may be important in limiting the development of large populations in the North of Britain (Minchin *et al.*, 1995).
- The Jap weed, Sargassum muticum, which has spread rapidly along the entire Channel coast (Hiscock & Moore 1986), the east coast up to Suffolk and has also now been found on the west coast of Scotland. Ideal conditions for growth are 25°C; increasing temperatures could facilitate its spread northwards.
- *Bonnemaisonia hamifera* and *Asparagopsis armata* are red algae that are likely to be limited in distribution by water temperature (Eno

et al., 1997). Other Rodophyta species such as *Antithamnionella ternifolia* and *Polysiphonia harveyi* are very tolerant of temperature changes, and may out-compete native species.

 Many estuarine species which have been spreading rapidly through Britain, such as the Chinese Mitten Crab, *Eriocheir sinensis* (Herberg et al., 2005), the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Aldridge et al., 2004) and the Asian clam, *Corbicula fluminae* (pers.obs). There is certainly some evidence that zebra mussel larvae are developing more rapidly than historically documented, which could be related to climate change (Elliott, 2005)

More worryingly, with sufficient water warming, it is even possible that some of the more notorious global warm-water invasive species may enter British waters, such as the Northern Pacific Sea Star (*Asterias amurensis*), Caulerpa Seaweed (*Caulerpa taxifola*), and the American Comb Jelly (*Mnemiopsis leidyi*). Of particular concern for future invasions are non-indigenous marine plankton such as the shellfishpoisoning dinoflagellate, *Gymnodinium catenatum* (Minchin & Eno, 2002). However, due to the problems in predicting rates of range expansion and long-distance dispersal events, it is very difficult to anticipate when, and indeed if, any of these invaders will arrive.

### Natural variability vs human induced climate change

Empirical work in marine invasion emphasizes the effects of spatial heterogeneity, temporal variability, other species, and evolution on invasions (Hawkins et al., 2003). Many factors can affect the successful establishment of the species, such as the presence of predators, availability of unfilled niches, and the presence of food (Eno et al., 1997, Hawkins et al., 2003). However, evidence remains scarce regarding the past effects of directed environmental change on invasive spread. Indeed, it is possible that few, if any, of the introductions to the UK to date are a consequence of climate change. Introductions have been an ongoing process; more than 60 species of mostly red algae, polychaete worms, crustaceans and molluscs have been introduced over the last century.

#### Relative importance of climate change to other human pressures

There is little doubt that most invasive species reach new localities by anthropogenic dispersal such as deliberate **introduction**, fouling on the bottom of ships, or through the release of organisms in ballast water (Ruiz *et al*, 1997, Eno *et al.*, 1997). More than 50% of the introductions to the UK are believed to have originated from fouling on ship hulls or ballast water and the remainder in association with deliberate introductions of shellfish for mariculture. Further, the international transport of organisms on the hulls of vessels may increase in the future due to the introduction of a ban on Tributyltin

(TBT) antifoulants. However, there is also a growing body of evidence that most aspects of global climate change favour the successful establishment of invasive **alien species** (Dukes & Mooney, 1999; Carlton, 2000; Stachowicz *et al.*, 2002).

### Current debate

There is considerable debate regarding the effects of climate change on marine invasions in the UK, largely because of a lack of comprehensive studies that would inform opinion. This lack of studies probably reflects the fact that the impacts of invasive species in the UK marine environment have not proved to be as detrimental as those reported from elsewhere in the world.

Theoretical work has shown that invasive species' spread is a far more complex process than classical models have implied, because longrange dispersal can rapidly enhance range expansion. Many attempts to model the effects of climate change have often used "climate envelopes" to predict future changes in species distribution. Such models often predict that climate change may reduce the suitability of current habitat, and these threats are most likely to be felt by species of limited dispersal ability (Hulme, 2005), i.e. non-invasive species. For many species, effects may be indirect and result from changes in the availability of natural resources and mutualistic and antagonistic interactions between species (Hulme 2005).

# **Regional Variations**

A report by Eno et al. (1997) summarizes the distribution and invasive characteristics of 51 non-native species in British waters. These include 15 marine alga, five diatoms, one flowering plant and 30 invertebrates. There are generally no common patterns in the distribution of the invasive species, but there do seem to be more invasive species on the south and west coasts of Britain, especially in the Solent (Zibrowius & Thorp, 1989) and along the Essex Coast (Utting & Spencer, 1992). This could be due to a number of factors such as more shipping and therefore more vectors for the transport of non-native species, proximity to areas from which species could spread, monitoring effort and differences in water temperature between the north and south of the country. Rates of spread vary between species, with 16 out of 51 species having spread to much of the British Isles within 50 years. Most species originated from similar latitudes to the UK, especially the east coast of the USA (mainly fauna) and the Western Pacific (mainly flora). It is likely that most species made the journey to Britain via deliberate introduction (often in association with mariculture), or with transport on ships hulls, or in ballast water. The UK also often supplies Ireland with invasive marine species (Invaders of Ireland are summarized in: Minchin & Eno, 2002).

From a Scottish perspective it is clear that some species are able to spread northwards and become established in colder waters. For example, ten marinas in Scotland were recently surveyed for seven non-native species (*Caprella mutica, Eriocheir sinensis, Perophora japonica, Styela clava, Codium fragile subsp. tomentosoides, Sargassum muticum* and *Undaria pinnatifida*) known to be established elsewhere within the UK (Ashton *et al.*, 2006). Seven of the marinas had one or more of the selected species and only three of the selected species were not found (*E. sinensis, P.japonica and U.pinnatifida*). It is worth noting that the Jap Weed (*Sargassum muticum*) is spreading particularly quickly around Scotland (Harries *et al.*, in prep) and may become a nuisance in many more harbours and shallow waters in the future.

# **Confidence assessments**

#### 'What is already happening' - Medium

We would suggest a **medium** level of confidence regarding "what is happening now". This applies to non-native species overall as the information available in the UK is moderate, and consensus is also only moderate.

#### 'What could happen in the future' – High

However, we would allocate a **high** level of confidence as to future effects; there is a growing body of evidence from the rest of the world that climate change can facilitate marine invasions, and the potential risks from new **introductions** in the future are both high and potentially disastrous.

# Knowledge gaps

One of the major problems of assessing the potential impact of climate change on non-native species is the lack of knowledge regarding where many of the species are established. There has been no full scale base-line survey of the presence of non-native species in the marine environment so the current distribution of many species is not known.

There is an urgent requirement for monitoring of the range of and effects of climate change on, established invaders. Only then can detailed risk assessments and contingency plans be prepared for future invaders. Further, the question of how climate change will interact with other ecological pressures (such as invasive species or habitat fragmentation) to create synergistic effects also needs to be considered (Sutherland *et al.*, 2006).

#### **Commercial impacts**

Commercially, some economically important species have been introduced, but some associated pests and parasites adversely affecting native species have also been unintentionally introduced. Control methods, where applied to nuisance species, are fairly ineffective and no non-native marine species have yet been successfully eradicated from British waters. Of the species deliberately introduced for aquaculture, only a few bivalve molluscs have become established in the natural environment beyond the confines of their cultivation. For example *Crepidula fornicata* has become a dominant mollusc in estuaries on the south coast and especially in the Solent, outcompeting oysters.

The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, is an important invasive species in its own right because it is extensively cultivated in Scotland. Cultivation of this species is controlled in that it occurs in containment (i.e. on trays or in bags) and is only allowed to go ahead after a consultation process. It is assumed that the low temperature of Scottish waters would mean that this species would be unable to establish itself. There has been no successful spat fall recorded in Scottish waters although maturation of the gonad and the occasional release has been noted but not settlement or establishment of populations. As this species has become established in other countries such as the Netherlands and Germany as well as areas in the south of the UK there is potential for an increased risk of the species becoming established in Scotland as water temperatures increase. This could lead to the out-competition of native filter feeders (Eno *et al.*, 1997) and the extensive modification of estuarine habitats.

The **introduction** of non-indigenous marine plankton via ballast water can also have a considerable ecological and economic effect on regional systems (Edwards *et al.* 2001). Some of these species can form Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) and as a consequence of regional climate warming it is thought that many more non-indigenous species may become established in the future (e.g. *Gymnodinium catenatum*)

#### References

Aldridge, D.C., Elliott, P. & Moggridge, G.D. (2004). The recent and rapid spread of the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in Great Britain. *Biological conservation*, **119**, 253-261.

Ashton, G., Boos, K., Shucksmith, R. and Cook, E. (2006) Rapid assessment of the distribution of marine non-native species in marinas in Scotland. *Aquatic Invasions*, **1 (4)**, 209-213.

Barnes, H., & Barnes, M. (1960). Recent spread and present distribution of the barnacle Elminius modestus Darwin in north-west Europe.

Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 135: 137-145.

- Carlton, J.T. (2000). Global change and biological invasions in the oceans. In: Invasive species in a changing world eds. Mooney, H.A. and Hobbs, R.J.. Island press, Covelo, CA. pp 31-53.
- Dukes, J.S. and Mooney, H.A. (1999). Does global change increase the success of biological invaders. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **14** (4),135 139.
- Edwards, M., John, A.W.G., Johns, D.G., & Reid, P.C. (2001). Case history and persistence of the non-indigenous diatom Coscinodiscus wailesii in the north-east Atlantic. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*. **81**, 207-211
- Elliott, P (2005). The zebra mussel in England: biology, effects and control using micro-encapsulated toxins. PhD thesis, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. Chapter 5, 99-130.
- Eno, C.N., Clark, R.A., Sanderson, W.G. (1997). Non-native marine species in British waters: a review and directory. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, JNCC Peterborough.
- Harley, C.D.G., Hughes, A.R., Hultgren., K.M., Miner, B.G., Sorte, C.J.B., Thornber, C.S., Rodriguez, L.F., Tomanek, L., Williams, L. (2006). The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 228-241.
- Harries, D.B., Harrow, S., Cook, E.J., Wilson, J.R., Mair, J.M., Donnan, D.W. (in prep). The establishment of the invasive alga *Sargassum muticum* on the west coast of Scotland: A prognosis of continued spread and potential areas of colonisation.
- Hawkins, S.J., Southward, A.J., Genner, M.J. (2003). Detection of environmental change in a marine ecosystem –evidence from the western English Channel. *The Science of the Total Environment* **310**, 245-256.
- Herborg, L.M., Rushton, S.P., Clare, A.S., Bentley, M.G.(2005). The Invasion of the Chinese Mitten Crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) in the United Kingdom and Its Comparison to Continental Europe. *Biological invasions*, **7**(6), 959-968.
- Hiscock, K., & Moore, J. (1986). Surveys of harbours, rias and estuaries in southern Britain: Plymouth area including the Yealm. Nature Conservancy Council, CSD Report, No. 752.
- Hulme, P.E. (2005). Adapting to climate change: is there scope for ecological management in the face of a global threat? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **42** (5), p784.

- Minchin, D., & Eno, C (2002). Exotics of coastal and inland waters of Ireland and Britain. In: Invasive Aquatic Species of Europe: distribution, impacts and management. Leppakoski, E., Gollasch, S and Olenin, S. 206-216. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordreicht, The Netherlands.
- Minchin, D., McGrath, D., & Duggan, C.B. (1995). The slipper limpet, *Crepidula fornicata* (L.), in Irish waters, with a review of its occurrence in the north-eastern Atlantic. *Journal of Conchology*, **35**, 247-254.
- Rogers, C.E. and McCarty, J.P (2000). Climate change and ecosystems of the Mid-Atlantic region. *Climate Research* **14**, 235-244.
- Ruiz, G.M., Carlton, J.T., Grosholz, E.D., Hines, A.H. (1997). Global invasions of marine and estuarine habitats by Non-indeigenous species: mechanisms, extent and consequences. *American Zoologist*, **37**, 621-632.
- Ruiz, G.M., Fofonoff, P.W., Carlton, J.T., Wonham, M.J. & Hines, A.H. (2000). Invasion of the coastal marine communities of North America: Apparent Patterns, Processes, and Biases. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **31**: 481-531.
- Stachowicz J.J., Terwin J.R., Whitlatch R.B. & Osman RW. (2002). Linking climate change and biological invasions: Ocean warming facilitates nonindigenous species invasions. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences U S A*. **99**(24):15497-500.
- Sutherland, W.J., Armstrong-brown, S., Armsworth, P.R., Brereton, T., Brickland, J., Campbell, C.D., Chamberlain, D.E., Cooke, A.I., Dulvy, N.K., Dusic, N.R., Fitton, M., Freckleton, R.P., Godfray, C.J., Grout, N.B., Harvey, J., Hedley, C., Hopkins, J.J., Kift, N.B., Kirby, J., Kunin, W.E., Macdonald, D.W., Marker, B., Naura, M., Neale, A,R., Oliver, T., Osborn, D., Pillin, A.S., Shardlow, M.E., Showler, D.A., Smith, P.L., Smithers, R.J., Soland, J., Spencer, J., Spray, C.J., Thomas, C.D., Thompson, J., Webb, S.E., Yalden, D.W. & Watkinson, A.R. (2006). The identification of 100 ecological questions of high policy relevance in the UK. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43 (4), Page 617.
- Utting, S.D., & Spencer, B.E. (1992). Introductions of marine bivalve molluscs into the United Kingdom for commercial culture - case histories. ICES Marine Science Symposium, 194: 84-91 Williamson, M. 1996. Biological invasions (Chapman and Hall, London).

# Other useful references

- Chapman, J.W. (2000). Marine bioinvasions: Proceedings of the First National. Conference, 66-80.
- Crisp, D.J. (1958). The spread of *Elminius modestus* in north-west Europe. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **37**: 483-520.

- Fields, P.A., Graham, J.B., Rosenblatt, R.H & Somero, G.N. (1993). Effects of expected global climate change on marine faunas. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **8**, 361 367.
- Harvell, C.D., Mitchell, C.E., Ward, J.R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A.P., Ostfeld, R.S. (2002). Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. *Science*, **296**, 2158-2162.
- Hastings, A., Cuddington, K., Davies, K.F., Dugaw, C. J., Elmendorf, S., Freestone, A., Harrison, S., Holland, M., Lambrinos, J., Malvadkar, U., Melbourne, B.A., Moore, K., Taylor, C., Thomson, D.(2005). The spatial spread of invasions: new developments in theory and evidence. *Ecology Letters*, 8(1) 91-101.
- ICES (2005a). Working Group on Introductions and Transfers of Marine Organisms Documents annually from national reports the spread and impact of invasive spp. Available at <u>http://www.ices.dk/iceswork/wgdetailacme.asp?wg=WGITMO</u>. Last accessed 8 September 2006.
- ICES (2005b). ICES/IOC/IMO Working Group on Ballast and Other Ship Vectors. Available at <u>http://www.ices.dk/iceswork/wgdetailacme.asp?wg=WGBOSV</u>. Last accessed 31 August 2006.
- IPCC (2001). Climate Change 2001, synthesis report. A contribution of working groups, I, II, and III to the third assessment report of the intragovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University press, Cambridge, UK.
- Perry, A.L., Low, P.J., Ellis, J.R. & Reynolds, J.D. (2005) Climate Change and Distribution Shifts in Marine Fishes. *Science*, **5730**, 1912 1915.
- Southward, A.J., Hawkins, S.J., & Burrows, M.T. (1995). Seventy years' observations of changes in distribution and abundance of zooplankton and intertidal organisms in the western English Channel in relation to rising sea temperature. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **20** (1-2), 127-155.
- Invasive non-native species in the UK (undated). University of Liverpool . Available at <u>http://138.253.199.114/IAAP%20Web/IAAPwebsite/index.asp</u>. Last accessed 31 August 2006.
- Zibrowius, H., & Thorp C.H. (1989). A review of the alien serpulid and spirorbid polychaetes in the British Isles. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine*, **30**: 271-285.